

1 Joseph F. Coyne, Jr. (CA Bar No. 93707)
Kenneth A. O'Brien, Jr. (CA Bar No. 160171)
2 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER &
HAMPTON LLP
3 333 South Hope Street, 48th Floor
4 Los Angeles, California 90071-1448
Telephone: 213/620-1780
5 Facsimile: 213/620-1398

6 Attorneys for Defendants
7 Fort James Corporation and Related Entities

8
9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11
12 In re:) Case No.: C07-2075 JSW
13 CROWN VANTAGE, INC.,)
14 Debtor.) C02-3836 MMC
15 Employer I.D. #54-1752384) C02-3838 MMC
16 _____) **NOTICE OF RELATED CASE**
17 CROWN PAPER LIQUIDATING TRUST,)
18 Plaintiff,)
19 v.)
20 PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP f/k/a/)
21 COOPERS & LYBRAND, et al.)
22 Defendants.)
23 _____)

24
25
26
27
28

1 CROWN PAPER COMPANY; CROWN)
 2 VANTAGE, INC., JEFFREY H. BECK, Liquidating)
 3 Trustee; and CROWN PAPER LIQUIDATING)
 4 TRUST,)
 5)
 6 Plaintiffs,)
 7)
 8 v.)
 9)
 10 FORT JAMES CORPORATION, f/k/a JAMES)
 11 RIVER CORPORATION OF VIRGINIA;)
 12 FORT JAMES OPERATING COMPANY; FORT)
 13 JAMES FIBER COMPANY, f/k/a JAMES RIVER)
 14 TIMBER CORPORATION; and FORT JAMES)
 15 INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, LTD., f/k/a)
 16 JAMES RIVER INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS,)
 17 LTD.,)
 18)
 19 Defendants.)
 20)
 21)
 22)
 23)
 24)
 25)
 26)
 27)
 28)

14 Pursuant to Local Rule 3-12, defendants Fort James Corporation, Fort James International
 15 Holdings, Ltd., and Georgia-Pacific Consumer Products LP (f/k/a Fort James Operating
 16 Company) (collectively, "Fort James") call the Court's attention to the fact that an action recently
 17 docketed in the district court upon transfer from the bankruptcy court, *In re Crown Vantage, Inc.*,
 18 C 07-2075 SJW, is related to two cases pending in the district court: *Crown Paper Co. v. Fort*
 19 *James Corp.*, C 02-3838 MMC and *Crown Paper Liquidating Trust v. PricewaterhouseCoopers*,
 20 C 02-3836 MMC. The subject matter of the new case is to withdraw the reference from the
 21 bankruptcy court of the bankruptcy case, *In re Crown Vantage, Inc.*, Bankruptcy Case No. 00-
 22 41584 N, for the limited purpose of hearing a motion to approve the settlements of the two already
 23 pending cases, which are related to that bankruptcy case. Thus, these cases involve
 24 "[s]ubstantially the same parties, property, transaction, event or question of law" Civil L.R.
 25 3-12(b)(1). And it is "likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and

